


Principles of reliable data transfer

- ❖ important in application, transport, link layers
 - top-10 list of important networking topics!




(a) provided service

- ❖ characteristics of unreliable channel will determine complexity of reliable data transfer protocol (rdt)

Principles of reliable data transfer


- ❖ important in application, transport, link layers
 - top-10 list of important networking topics!



- ❖ characteristics of unreliable channel will determine complexity of reliable data transfer protocol (rdt)


Principles of reliable data transfer

- ❖ important in application, transport, link layers
 - top-10 list of important networking topics!



- ❖ characteristics of unreliable channel will determine complexity of reliable data transfer protocol (rdt)


Reliable data transfer: getting started



Reliable data transfer: getting started


we'll:

- ❖ incrementally develop sender, receiver sides of reliable data transfer protocol (rdt)
- ❖ consider only unidirectional data transfer
 - but control info will flow on both directions!
- ❖ use finite state machines (FSM) to specify sender, receiver



rdt1.0: reliable transfer over a reliable channel

- ❖ underlying channel perfectly reliable
 - no bit errors
 - no loss of packets
- ❖ separate FSMs for sender, receiver:
 - sender sends data into underlying channel
 - receiver reads data from underlying channel



rdt2.0: channel with bit errors


- ❖ underlying channel may flip bits in packet
 - checksum to detect bit errors
- ❖ *the question: how to recover from errors:*

*How do humans recover from “errors”
during conversation?*

rdt2.0: channel with bit errors


- ❖ underlying channel may flip bits in packet
 - checksum to detect bit errors
- ❖ *the question: how to recover from errors:*
 - *acknowledgements (ACKs):* receiver explicitly tells sender that pkt received OK
 - *negative acknowledgements (NAKs):* receiver explicitly tells sender that pkt had errors
 - sender retransmits pkt on receipt of NAK
- ❖ new mechanisms in rdt2.0 (beyond rdt1.0):
 - error detection
 - feedback: control msgs (ACK,NAK) from receiver to sender

rdt2.0: FSM specification




sender


receiver



rdt2.0: operation with no errors



rdt2.0: error scenario



rdt2.0 has a fatal flaw!

what happens if ACK/NAK corrupted?

- ❖ sender doesn't know what happened at receiver!
- ❖ can't just retransmit: possible duplicate


handling duplicates:

- ❖ sender retransmits current pkt if ACK/NAK corrupted
- ❖ sender adds *sequence number* to each pkt
- ❖ receiver discards (doesn't deliver up) duplicate pkt


stop and wait

sender sends one packet,
then waits for receiver
response

rdt2.1: sender, handles garbled ACK/NAKs



rdt2.1: receiver, handles garbled ACK/NAKs



rdt2.1: discussion

sender:

- ❖ seq # added to pkt
- ❖ two seq. #'s (0,1) will suffice. Why?
- ❖ must check if received ACK/NAK corrupted
- ❖ twice as many states
 - state must “remember” whether “expected” pkt should have seq # of 0 or 1


receiver:

- ❖ must check if received packet is duplicate
 - state indicates whether 0 or 1 is expected pkt seq #
- ❖ note: receiver can *not* know if its last ACK/NAK received OK at sender

rdt2.2: a NAK-free protocol

- ❖ same functionality as rdt2.1, using ACKs only
- ❖ instead of NAK, receiver sends ACK for last pkt received OK
 - receiver must *explicitly* include seq # of pkt being ACKed
- ❖ duplicate ACK at sender results in same action as NAK: *retransmit current pkt*

rdt2.2: sender, receiver fragments



rdt3.0: channels with errors and loss

new assumption:


underlying channel can also lose packets (data, ACKs)

- checksum, seq. #, ACKs, retransmissions will be of help ... but not enough


approach: sender waits “reasonable” amount of time for ACK

- ❖ retransmits if no ACK received in this time
- ❖ if pkt (or ACK) just delayed (not lost):
 - retransmission will be duplicate, but seq. #'s already handles this
 - receiver must specify seq # of pkt being ACKed
- ❖ requires countdown timer


rdt3.0 sender



rdt3.0 in action




(a) no loss




(b) packet loss

rdt3.0 in action



(c) ACK loss



(d) premature timeout/ delayed ACK

Performance of rdt3.0

- ❖ rdt3.0 is correct, but performance stinks
- ❖ e.g.: 1 Gbps link, 15 ms prop. delay, 8000 bit packet:


$$D_{trans} = \frac{L}{R} = \frac{8000 \text{ bits}}{10^9 \text{ bits/sec}} = 8 \text{ microseconds}$$

- U_{sender} : **utilization** – fraction of time sender busy sending

$$U_{sender} = \frac{L/R}{RTT + L/R} = \frac{.008}{30.008} = 0.00027$$

- if RTT=30 msec, 1KB pkt every 30 msec: 33kB/sec thruput over 1 Gbps link
- ❖ network protocol limits use of physical resources!

rdt3.0: stop-and-wait operation




$$U_{\text{sender}} = \frac{L/R}{RTT + L/R} = \frac{.008}{30.008} = 0.00027$$

Pipelined protocols

pipelining: sender allows multiple, “in-flight”, yet-to-be-acknowledged pkts

- range of sequence numbers must be increased
- buffering at sender and/or receiver




(a) a stop-and-wait protocol in operation

(b) a pipelined protocol in operation

- ❖ two generic forms of pipelined protocols: *go-Back-N*, *selective repeat*

Pipelining: increased utilization



3-packet pipelining increases utilization by a factor of 3!

$$U_{\text{sender}} = \frac{3L / R}{RTT + L / R} = \frac{.0024}{30.008} = 0.00081$$

Pipelined protocols: overview

Go-back-N:


- ❖ sender can have up to N unacked packets in pipeline
- ❖ receiver only sends *cumulative ack*
 - doesn't ack packet if there's a gap
- ❖ sender has timer for oldest unacked packet
 - when timer expires, retransmit *all* unacked packets

Selective Repeat:

- ❖ sender can have up to N unack'ed packets in pipeline
- ❖ rcvr sends *individual ack* for each packet
- ❖ sender maintains timer for each unacked packet
 - when timer expires, retransmit only that unacked packet


Go-Back-N: sender

- ❖ k-bit seq # in pkt header
- ❖ “window” of up to N, consecutive unack’ed pkts allowed




- ❖ ACK(n): ACKs all pkts up to, including seq # n - “*cumulative ACK*”
 - may receive duplicate ACKs (see receiver)
- ❖ timer for oldest in-flight pkt
- ❖ *timeout(n)*: retransmit packet n and all higher seq # pkts in window

GBN: sender extended FSM



GBN: receiver extended FSM



ACK-only: always send ACK for correctly-received pkt with highest *in-order* seq #

- may generate duplicate ACKs
- need only remember **expectedseqnum**
- ❖ out-of-order pkt:
 - discard (don't buffer): *no receiver buffering!*
 - re-ACK pkt with highest in-order seq #

GBN in action

sender window (N=4)

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8
 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8
 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8
 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8
 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8
 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8
 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8
 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

sender

send pkt0
 send pkt1
 send pkt2
 send pkt3
 (wait)

rcv ack0, send pkt4
 rcv ack1, send pkt5

ignore duplicate ACK



pkt 2 timeout

send pkt2
 send pkt3
 send pkt4
 send pkt5

receiver

receive pkt0, send ack0
 receive pkt1, send ack1
 receive pkt3, discard,
 (re)send ack1
 receive pkt4, discard,
 (re)send ack1
 receive pkt5, discard,
 (re)send ack1


rcv pkt2, deliver, send ack2
 rcv pkt3, deliver, send ack3
 rcv pkt4, deliver, send ack4
 rcv pkt5, deliver, send ack5

X loss


Selective repeat

- ❖ receiver *individually* acknowledges all correctly received pkts
 - buffers pkts, as needed, for eventual in-order delivery to upper layer
- ❖ sender only resends pkts for which ACK not received
 - sender timer for each unACKed pkt
- ❖ sender window
 - N consecutive seq #'s
 - limits seq #'s of sent, unACKed pkts

Selective repeat: sender, receiver windows



(a) sender view of sequence numbers



(b) receiver view of sequence numbers

Selective repeat

sender

data from above:

- ❖ if next available seq # in window, send pkt

timeout(n):

- ❖ resend pkt n, restart timer

ACK(n) in [sendbase,sendbase+N]:

- ❖ mark pkt n as received
- ❖ if n smallest unACKed pkt, advance window base to next unACKed seq #

receiver

pkt n in [rcvbase, rcvbase+N-1]

- ❖ send ACK(n)
- ❖ out-of-order: buffer
- ❖ in-order: deliver (also deliver buffered, in-order pkts), advance window to next not-yet-received pkt

pkt n in [rcvbase-N,rcvbase-1]



- ❖ ACK(n)

otherwise:

- ❖ ignore

Selective repeat in action

sender window (N=4)



sender

send pkt0
 send pkt1
 send pkt2
 send pkt3
 (wait)

rcv ack0, send pkt4
 rcv ack1, send pkt5

record ack3 arrived



pkt 2 timeout

send pkt2

record ack4 arrived

record ack4 arrived

receiver

receive pkt0, send ack0
 receive pkt1, send ack1

receive pkt3, buffer,
 send ack3

receive pkt4, buffer,
 send ack4

receive pkt5, buffer,
 send ack5

rcv pkt2; deliver pkt2,
 pkt3, pkt4, pkt5; send ack2


Q: what happens when ack2 arrives?

Selective repeat: dilemma

example:

- ❖ seq #'s: 0, 1, 2, 3
- ❖ window size=3
- ❖ receiver sees no difference in two scenarios!
- ❖ duplicate data accepted as new in (b)

Q: what relationship between seq # size and window size to avoid problem in (b)?



receiver can't see sender side.
 receiver behavior identical in both cases!
 something's (very) wrong!

